

| Service Type | 009 - E-FILE |
|-------------------|--|
| Caller: | AMG |
| Control Number: | LA32 |
| Reference Number: | Lechmanik v. YE |
| Case Number. | NEW CASE |
| Case Name: | NICHOL LECHMANIK, vs YE (formerly known as KANYE WEST), an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, |
| Court: | VENTURA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT |
| Address: | 800 S. VICTORIA AVE. VENTURA, CA 93009 |
| Oocuments: | COMPLAINT SUMMONS CCCS |
| Comments: | RECEIVED BY THE COURT. |
| Date Completed | 5/31/2023 |



COURT INSTRUCTIONS

la@asaplegal.com PHONE (213) 252-2000



TODAY

| X | XX | X | XX | XX | X | XXX | |
|---|----|---|----|----|---|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | |

FIRM NAME: & ADDRESS:

6300 Wilshire Blvd Suite 1500

ALLRED MAROKO & GOLDBERG

CUST #

DUE DATE : 5/31/2023

COURT / DESTINATION:

VENTURA COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

800 S, VICTORIA AVE., VENTURA, CA 93009

CASE: NEW CASE

CASE TITLE: NICHOL LECHMANIK, VS. YE (formerly known

as KANYE WE

DOCUMENTS: COMPLAINTSUMMONSCCCS

Los Angeles CA, 90048 PHONE:(323) 653-6530

FAX.

CONTACT:

BILLING / FILE #: Lechmanik v. YEVENTURA CT | FILING (NEW COMPLAINT)
DATE GENERATED #: 5/31/2023

| STATUTE DATE: 5/31/2023 | EARING DATE: | DEPT. | OUR DATE REC'D: 5/31/202 |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|
| FILE / CONFORM | | SPECIAL INSTRI | JCTIONS |
| COURTESY COPY DELIVERY RECORD COURT RESEARCH PLAIN CERTIFIED Check Attached .00 | PLEASE FILE TO | ODAY AND RETURN CONFORM | ED COPIES |
| REPORTS / COMMENTS: | | - | BILLING IT EM CHARGE |
| | VE | RECEIVED STURASUPERIOR COURT | RUSH FILING OUT OF AREA |
| | | MAY 3 1 2023 | INDEXU |

| 1 | Gloria Allred (SBN 65033) | | | | | | |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | Nathan Goldberg (SBN 61292) Law Offices | | | | | | |
| 3 | ALLRED, MAROKO & GOLDBERG 6300 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1500 | | | | | | |
| 4 | Los Angeles, California 90048 | | | | | | |
| 5 | Telephone No: (323) 653-6530 Facsimile No: (323) 653-1660 | | | | | | |
| 6 | gallred@amglaw.com ngoldberg@amglaw.com | | | | | | |
| 7 | | TT7 | | | | | |
| 8 | Attorneys for <u>Plaintiff, NICHOL LECHMAN</u> | | | | | | |
| 9 | | | | | | | |
| 10 | IN THE SUBEDIAD CAUDT OF | THE STATE OF CALLEDDNIA | | | | | |
| 11 | IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF VENTURA | | | | | | |
| 12 | TOR THE COOK | TI OI VENTORI | | | | | |
| 13 | NICHOL LECHMANIK, | CASE NO: | | | | | |
| 14 | | 597 | | | | | |
| 15 16 | Plaintiff, | COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES | | | | | |
| 17 | VS. | 1. ASSAULT | | | | | |
| 18 | | 2. BATTERY | | | | | |
| 19 | YE (formerly known as KANYE WEST), an individual; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, | 3. NEGLIGENCE | | | | | |
| 20 | murviduai, and DOES I through 10, merusive, | | | | | | |
| 21 | Defendants. | 4. INTERFERENCE WITH EXERCISE OF CIVIL RIGHTS (CAL. CODE § 52.1) | | | | | |
| 22 | Defendants. | , | | | | | |
| 23 | | 5. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF | | | | | |
| 24 | | JURY TRIAL DEMAND | | | | | |
| 25 | | OCKI TRIME DENEMA | | | | | |
| 26 | | J | | | | | |
| 27 28 | | | | | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | |
| | COMBLAINT I | 1 FOR DAMAGES | | | | | |
| - 1 | COMPLAINT | OR DIMIAGES | | | | | |

Plaintiff Nichol Lechmanik hereby alleges as follows:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. Plaintiff **NICHOL LECHMANIK** ("Plaintiff" or "LECHMANIK"), at all relevant times mentioned herein was, a resident of the County of Riverside, State of California.
- 2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges that Defendant YE (formerly known as KANYE WEST and hereinafter "Defendant" or "YE") is an individual who at all relevant times herein was a resident of the County of Los Angeles.
- 3. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partnership, associate or otherwise, of Defendants sued herein as DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, are currently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants designated herein as a DOE is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein, and caused injury and damage proximately thereby to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiff will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to show the true names and capacities of the Defendants designated herein as DOES when the same have been ascertained. Whenever in this complaint reference is made to "Defendants," such allegation shall be deemed to mean the acts of Defendants acting individually, jointly, and/or severally.
- 4. Except as hereinafter specifically described, Defendants and each of them, are and were the agents and/or employees of the other Defendants, and in acting as described herein were acting within the scope of their authority or employment as agents and/or employees thereof, and with the permission and consent of the other Defendants.
- 5. At all relevant times, Ye is a world-famous musician who was accustomed to the attention of fans and media coverage in public places. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based upon such information and belief alleges, that prior to the events complained of, Ye formed an intense dislike for photographers and a desire to impede them in the performance of their jobs. Ye has a well publicized and well documented history and pattern of physically attacking photographers, including having caused physical harm to them.

- 6. This case arises out of personal injuries suffered by Plaintiff Nichol Lechmanik after she was assaulted by Defendant Ye on January 27, 2023, outside of the Sports Academy in Newbury Park California ("Sports Academy"). At the time of the events complained of hereinafter, Plaintiff was a professional photographer.
- 7. At approximately 2:00 to 3:00 p.m. on January 27, 2023, Plaintiff was in the vicinity of the Sports Academy in Newbury Park California. She had filmed Kim Kardashian going into the Sports Academy and plaintiff was driving her car while taking a break during the interval of time before Kardashian emerged after the sporting event she was attending. The Plaintiff, lawfully engaged in her vocation, was among other professional photographers outside the Sports Academy filming Ms. Kardashian.
- 8. As she was driving, Plaintiff noticed an interaction between Defendant Ye and another photographer on a street near the Sports Academy. Both had exited their vehicles and Ye was angrily confronting the photographer. Given Defendant Ye's reputation for violence against photographers, his history of physically harming them, and based on his threatening body language, Plaintiff became fearful for the photographer's safety. Defendant Ye went through his pockets, and Plaintiff thought that he might have a weapon.
- 9. From her car, Plaintiff began filming the incident on her cell phone, with her hand extended outside the driver-side window. Plaintiff remained in the driver's seat as she was filming. She did not want to get out of her car because she was afraid of Defendant Ye.
- 10. As Defendant Ye began walking towards Plaintiff's car. Plaintiff's nervousness increased. When Defendant Ye came near her car, Plaintiff pulled the camera, which she had been holding out of the window, into her car, and froze. Defendant Ye, speaking aggressively, said, "You all ain't gonna run up on me like that." Plaintiff replied that she was not running up on him. Defendant Ye continued, "If I say stop . . . stop with your cameras." Defendant Ye had not previously told Plaintiff to stop filming.
- 11. Defendant Ye was enraged. He reached into Plaintiff's car and ripped her phone out of her hands. As he did so, Plaintiff was fearful Defendant Ye had a weapon or would strike her. Defendant Ye then threw her phone onto the street towards oncoming traffic.

- 12. Next, Defendant Ye walked to the other side of her car where Plaintiff's business partner was also filming from the passenger seat. Plaintiff's business partner was likewise terrified. He locked the door and rolled his window up. As Defendant Ye arrived at the passenger side of the car, Plaintiff remained fearful as to what was going to happen next. Defendant Ye gave them a "death stare" before finally turning and walking away.
- 13. As a proximate result of Ye's conduct as alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff sustained great mental, and emotional pain and suffering. In addition, Plaintiff anticipates incurring medical and related expenses, the full amount of which is not known at this time. As a further proximate result of Ye's conduct, Plaintiff has been prevented from attending to her usual occupation as a photographer and has suffered, and will suffer, lost earnings. The amount of Plaintiff's damages exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Superior Court.
- 14. The aforementioned conduct by Ye was willful, wanton, and malicious. At all relevant times, Ye acted with conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights. Ye acted with the knowledge of or with reckless disregard that his conduct was certain to cause injury and/or humiliation to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Ye intended to cause fear, physical injury and/or pain and suffering to the Plaintiff. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages from Ye according to proof.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Assault Against All Defendants)

- 15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation contained hereinabove and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. When Ye approached Plaintiff's car, reached into her car, ripped the phone out of her hand, and stalked around her vehicle, Ye intended to cause the Plaintiff apprehension of an imminent harmful and offensive contact with her person.
- 17. As a result of Defendants' acts, Plaintiff was in fact, placed in great apprehension of imminent harmful and offensive contact with her person.
- 18. In performing the acts alleged hereinabove, Ye acted with the intent of making contact with Plaintiff's person.

- 19. At no time did Plaintiff consent to any of the acts by Ye alleged hereinabove.
- 20. Defendants' conduct as described above, caused Plaintiff to be apprehensive that Defendant would subject her to further intentional invasions of her right to be free from offensive and harmful contact and demonstrated that at all times material herein, Defendant had a present ability to subject her to an intentional offensive and harmful touching.
- 21. As a proximate result of Ye's conduct as alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff sustained mental, physical and emotional pain and suffering. In addition, Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur medical and related expenses, the full amount of which is not known at this time. As a further proximate result of Ye's conduct, Plaintiff has been prevented from attending to her usual occupation as a photographer and has suffered, and will suffer, lost earnings. The amount of Plaintiff's damages exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Superior Court.
- 22. The aforementioned conduct by Ye was willful, wanton, and malicious. At all relevant times, Ye acted with conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights. Ye also acted with the knowledge of or with reckless disregard for the fact that his conduct was certain to cause injury and/or humiliation to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Ye intended to cause fear, physical injury and/or pain and suffering to the Plaintiff. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages from Ye according to proof.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Battery Against All Defendants)

- 23. Plaintiff repeats and realleges by reference each and every allegation contained hereinabove and incorporates the same herein as though fully set forth herein.
- 24. In performing the acts described herein, Defendant acted with the intent to make a harmful and offensive contact with Plaintiff's person.
- 25. Defendant Ye did, in fact, bring himself into offensive and unwelcome contact with Plaintiff as described hereinabove.
- 26. At all relevant times, Plaintiff found the contact by Defendant to be offensive to her person and dignity. At no time did Plaintiff consent to any of the acts by Ye alleged hereinabove.

- 27. As a proximate result of Ye's conduct as alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff sustained the above-alleged injury, causing the Plaintiff mental, physical and emotional pain and suffering. In addition, Plaintiff will incur medical and related expenses, the full amount of which is not known at this time. As a further proximate result of Ye's conduct, Plaintiff has been prevented from attending to her usual occupation as a photographer and has suffered, and will suffer, lost earnings. The amount of Plaintiff's damages exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Superior Court.
- 28. The aforementioned conduct by Ye was willful, wanton, and malicious. At all relevant times, Ye acted with conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and feelings. Ye also acted with the knowledge of or with reckless disregard for the fact that his conduct was certain to cause injury and/or humiliation to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Ye intended to cause fear, physical injury and/or pain and suffering to the Plaintiff. By virtue of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages from Ye according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Negligence Against All Defendants)

- 29. By this reference, plaintiff incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 27, inclusive, hereof as though fully set forth at this point.
- 30. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief alleges that upon seeing Plaintiff outside the Sports Academy, Ye determined to prevent the Plaintiff from taking video footage of him. Ye failed to use reasonable care in controlling his own conduct while attempting to prevent the Plaintiff from filming him. In particular, Ye negligently used excessive force in attempting to stop the Plaintiff from photographing him.
- 31. As a proximate result of Ye's conduct as alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff sustained the above-alleged injury, causing the Plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering. In addition, Plaintiff will incur medical and related expenses, the full amount of which is not known at this time. As a further proximate result of Ye's conduct, Plaintiff was prevented from attending to her usual occupation as a photographer and has suffered, and will suffer, lost

28

earnings. The amount of Plaintiff's damages exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Superior Court.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Violation of California Civil Code Section 52.1)

(Interference With The Exercise Of Civil Rights Against All Defendants)

- 32. By this reference, Plaintiff LECHMANIK incorporates the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 30, inclusive, hereof as though fully set forth at this point.
- Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution guarantees certain inalienable 33. rights to persons in this state and has been construed specifically to include the fundamental right to pursue a lawful occupation.
- At the time of the events alleged in this complaint, plaintiff was a professional 34. photographer employed in the State of California.
- At the time of the event alleged in this complaint, Ye sought to prevent the 35. Plaintiff from pursuing her occupation as a professional photographer. Ye attempted to interfere and actually interfered with the Plaintiff's exercise and enjoyment of the fundamental right secured by Article I, Section 1 of the California Constitution to pursue a lawful occupation.
- As a proximate result of Ye's conduct as alleged hereinabove, Plaintiff sustained 36. the above-alleged injury, causing the Plaintiff great mental, physical and nervous pain and suffering. In addition, Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur medical and related expenses, the full amount of which is not known at this time. As a further proximate result of Ye's conduct, Plaintiff was prevented from attending to her usual occupation as a photographer and has suffered, and will suffer, lost earnings. The amount of Plaintiff's damages exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of the Superior Court.
- The aforementioned conduct by Ye was willful, wanton, and malicious. At all 37. relevant times. Ye acted with conscious disregard of the Plaintiff's rights and feelings. Ye also acted with the knowledge of or with reckless disregard for the fact that his conduct was certain to cause injury and/or humiliation to the Plaintiff. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that Ye intended to cause fear, physical injury and/or pain and suffering to the Plaintiff. By virtue of the

foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to recover punitive and exemplary damages from Ye according to proof at trial.

- 38. By virtue of Ye's conduct prior to the events complained of and the nature of the attack perpetrated upon the Plaintiff, there is a danger that Ye will engage in future attempts to intimidate the Plaintiff in the exercise of the Plaintiff's right to pursue a lawful occupation. Unless enjoined by order of the Court, conduct of the sort alleged in this complaint will cause great and irreparable injury to the plaintiff in that such conduct will subject the Plaintiff to serious personal injury and will prevent the Plaintiff from pursuing her lawful occupation.
- 39. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for the injuries currently threatened. In the absence of an injunction, Plaintiff will be forced to file lawsuits to protect her right to pursue a lawful occupation.
- 40. Plaintiff has been compelled to engage legal counsel in connection with the foregoing violations of the fundamental rights guaranteed to her under the California Constitution. As a result, she has incurred and will continue to incur legal fees and costs in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment be entered in her favor as follows:

AS TO THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. For general and special damages according to proof;
- 2. For punitive and exemplary damages according to proof;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred in this action, and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

AS TO THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION:

- 1. For general and special damages according to proof;
- 2. For punitive and exemplary damages according to proof;
- 3. For costs of suit incurred in this action, and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

AS TO THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION:

1. For general and special damages according to proof;